

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES CURTIS KERN,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
v.  
  
SACRAMENTO COUNTY DISTRICT  
ATTORNEY OFFICE,  
  
Respondent.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01630-TLN-JDP

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On November 14, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Petitioner, and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.


In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed November 14, 2024, are ADOPTED IN FULL;
2. The petition (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED as unexhausted;
3. The motion for injunctive relief (ECF No. 8) is DENIED;
4. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253; and
5. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case and to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: December 13, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TROY L. NUNLEY  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE